A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Government are considering: but they have not yet taken a decision.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Yes.

States Re-organisation Commission (Transfer of Bellary District to Andhra).

Q.—83. Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that respresentations are made before the States Re-organisation Commission urging that the entire area of Bellary District up to the Tungabhadra Project should be transferred to Andhra ;

(*b*) the steps that Government have taken to counteract this move ;

(*c*) whether the Government have urged before the Commission for transfer to Mysore State the three taluks of Adoni, Alur and Rayadurga to form a convenient administrative unit ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes, by certain sections.

(*b*) Government have urged before the Commission that the said representation does not deserve consideration.

(*c*) No.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Was it not necessary on the part of the Government to come to a decision before the Fazal Ali Commission visited the State ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Commission visited the State to ascertain the views of the Government and the public ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Fazal Ali Commission invite the opinion of the Chief Minister and other Ministers and also of the Government regarding the future set up of Mysore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When they invited the opinion of the Government, what action did the Government take and did they offer any views or memorandum ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—We have taken time, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is the Commission going to visit Mysore once again to collect the views of Mysore and Mysore Government ?

Sri K. HUNAMANTHAIYA.—I have no idea.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Fazal Ali Commission insisted that the Government should give its views and said that they are not going to visit Mysore State once again ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—My Hon'ble friend is not correctly informed, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is the Chief Minister going to assure that the Fazal Ali Commission is going to visit the State again for the same purpose ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am not in a position to give any such assurance, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When it is not certain that the Commission is going to revisit the State, how will the Government place its views before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—By correspondence or through the Government representative at some place where it is sitting.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Chief Minister or any other Minister visit or interview the Commission in their personal capacity or did they offer their views in their personal capacity ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not necessary and obligatory on the part of Government to have taken the necessary steps to place their views in time before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The question is what is ' in time '. In time the Government opinion will be communicated to the Commission based on the consensus of opinion of this House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What was this delay due to on the part of the Government not to have given their views in time, when the Commission was here ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Because it gave a good deal of, what is called, headache.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What is that headache ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Difference of opinion.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it the difference of opinion within this House or outside this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Both.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—We are concerned only with what transpired within this house, not outside the House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Government have not consulted this House and that it is incorrect to say that there is difference of opinion in this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—My Hon'ble friend is right in saying that we have not consulted this House; it is technically true. But during the course of debates and discussions, especially during the Budget discussions, members have expressed their opinion. So, we as well as the members are in a position to say that there is difference of opinion on this subject in this House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Leader of the House has unequivocally and emphatically expressed his opinion in favour of Karnataka ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is not a correct way of putting the proposition. What I have had to say, I have stated in my Budget speech. I invite my Hon'ble friend's attention to that portion of my Budget speech where I have discussed this question in one or two paras.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Chief Minister, in the course of a press interview, has said that he is the 'Dasa' of Akhila Karnataka ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—"ಕನ್ನಡ ಜನರ ಮಹ ದಾಸೆಗೆ ನಾನು ದಾಸ" ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. I want the Hon'ble Member to put it correctly. If you look into the papers of that day you will find what I have said.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂ.—"ಅಖಿಲ ಕರ್ಣಾಟಕ ತಮ್ಮ ಮಹತ್ತರ ಆಸೆ, ತಮ್ಮ ಆಸೆಗೆ ನಾನು ದಾನ, ಅಡಿಯೇ—ದಾನ" (ನಗು !)

Is it not a fact that the Chief Minister has given a good lead in this direction at Nanal and is he going to give the same lead to this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In the interest of the cause itself, I do not want to appropriate the credit to myself.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Was there not a ban on the members of this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, in democracy, there can be no ban on expression of opinion.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Fazal Ali Commission was deprived of its chance of hearing the members of this House on account of the ban imposed on them ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—No, no. The members of this House are not precluded from giving expression to their opinion.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Certain section of the House.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—We are not concerned with that. It is purely private.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—This is a very important question concerning the entire State. Owing to certain circumstances an important section of this House was prevented from offering their views before the Commission.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The House is not concerned with that.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—The House is not concerned; the Government is concerned. Why did they impose a ban ? They ought to have seen that such a ban was not imposed on the members.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—If the ban had been imposed upon the members by this House, I could understand your discussing it. But the ban is something different from that; we are not concerned with it.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Were the Ministers in any way prevented from giving their opinions before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, the word to be used in this context is not 'ban' or 'prevented' or words of that kind. When we work as a Party, we discuss from time to time and take decisions and we act according to those decisions. I would like to tell my Hon'ble friend that there is difference between what is called 'ban' and 'forcible prevention' and what is called 'the decision of the Party.'

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that on account of their not giving their opinion in time, this attitude may work adversely towards the interest of Kannadigas ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Definitely no, Sir, because the Commission is likely to submit its report to Government of India somewhere in the middle of next year. This Government or this Assembly can furnish their opinion even within a week of the Commission writing their report. I have had a discussion with the Chairman of the Commission and they would be quite satisfied if we give our opinion before they sit to draft the report.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that other Governments similarly situated have taken necessary steps to safeguared their interest—States like Andhra ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The attitude, so far as I am aware, adopted by different State Governments are different; in no case is it similar. Some Governments have left the discretion to members to represent their opinions. In some State Governments the Cabinet has jointly and unanimously placed their views before the Commission.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—What steps will the Government take and what procedure will they adopt before they come to a decision ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—After the report of the Fact Finding Committee is available to us, we shall discuss the *pros* and *cons* of the problem on the merits of the case and come to a decision in time, as you say.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is the Government going to take the opinion of this House before they send their decision to the Fazal Ali Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In a way I have already answered that question.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I am sorry, I did not quite grasp it clearly. I want to know whether Government will ascertain the views of this House before sending their opinion ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Whether Government should ascertain the views of this House on this question or not, Government have not yet come to a decision. I said that if the consensus of opinion of the House is that they must be given an opportunity for expressing their opinion before Government gives its opinion to the Commission, I would respond; that is what I said.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Will the Government bring this before the House in the course of this Session and ascertain the opinion of this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am afraid, it may not be practicable during this session, because the report of the Committee would be submitted by the end of this month by which time the Assembly would have adjourned.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Will the Government give an assurance that before sending their views to the Fazal Ali Commission, they would at least place this matter before this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—At present I am not in a position to give any such assurance.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Then, by what means will the Government try to ascertain the opinion of this House before they send their opinion ? Is it not obligatory on their part to act according to the opinion of this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The suggestion made by my Hon'ble friend will be borne in mind.

12-30 P.M.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—It is stated in the answer that the Government have urged before the Commission that the said representation does not deserve consideration. Will the

(SRI J. MOHAMED IMAM.)

Government tell me whether they interviewed the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No interview.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Here you have said : " they have urged before the Commission " Did they confine themselves to the question of Bellary ? Did they not express opinion regarding other matters ? That shows that you have interviewed them.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—What happened was,—it is not exactly the decision of the Cabinet or of the Government that my Hon'ble friend is characterising—when the Fazal Ali Commission visited Bellary, two Ministers of Mysore remained there and represented the views in accordance with the decision already taken by this House, *i.e.*, that the Bellary District should form part of the Mysore State. Therefore, the decision of the House is already there and the Ministers acted in consonance with that, so that no piece of territory of the existing Mysore State may be allowed to go out of the State.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have they given a written memorandum asking them not to reopen the question of Bellary ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I understand that the Hon'ble Ministers who were there, have given their opinion in that regard.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it the opinion of the Ministers or of the Government ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—As I said, it is the opinion not only of the Ministers but also of the Government and of this House.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—That I cannot allow ; I do not think it was the opinion of the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It would have been better if the Speaker had not ventured to give an opinion. When the Andhra State Bill was passed by this House, the House has given a decision that Bellary District should form part of Mysore State and therefore the remarks are not true.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister will do well not to address the Speaker like that. Was the representation made to the Commission in the interview by the Ministers a matter that was approved by this House ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, I am not prepared to enter into a controversy with the Speaker.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Shall I go on, Sir ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Thank you, Sir. At the time of passing the Andhra State Bill, was it not the opinion of this House that the three taluks of Adoni, Alur and Rayadurg should go over to and merge with Mysore ?

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—It was the opinion of Sri Imam.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Was it not the opinion of the House then that the Government should try and see that the taluks of Adoni, Alur and Rayadurg should be handed over to Mysore?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That was the opinion of some members.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—And was there not an assurance that a Boundary Commission would be appointed to re-examine the position of these taluks and come to a decision ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes and the Government has asked the Government of India to appoint a Boundary Commission.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So, in the light of this, was it not the duty of the Government to reflect this before the Commission and urge for the inclusion of Adoni, Alur and Rayadrug Taluks in the State of Mysore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—There is difference of opinion as to what can be done under the circumstances. The view of the Government is that such of those people who wish to come into the Mysore State, we welcome. Government's policy is not to claim any territory irrespective of the opinions of the people in those areas. If the people of those three taluks want to come to the Mysore State, they are welcome. If they are not of that view, we are not going to make any claim before the Commission or before any body else.

Sri J, MOHAMED IMAM —Did the Government represent the views expressed by the Ministers before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—They have.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So, what other effective steps the Government have taken to counteract the claim of the Andhras demanding recession of the territory up to Tungabhadra Dam, apart from the evidences of these two Ministers ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The policy of this Government is not to enter into any heated controversy with any other Government. It is our desire that the opinion of the people there must be the deciding factor.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have the Government considered what the position of Mysore and Bellary would be in case a portion of Bellary District up to Tungabhadra Project is transferred back to the Andhra State ? Have the Government considered this aspect?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—A report is made to the Commission that such claim is untenable.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ.. ವಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಿಗೂ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೂ ನಡೆದ ಜಿಜ್ಞಾಸೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೀ ಕನ್ನಡದ ವಿಚಾರಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ತೆಲುಗು ಬೇಕಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ತೆಲುಗು ವಿಶೇಷ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಆಂಧ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಯಾಕೆ ಹೋಗಗೊಡಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಯಾರೂ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೂ ಯಾವ ಸಂಬಂಧವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಬನ್ನಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟರೆ ಯಾಕೆ ಹೋಗಗೊಡಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ !

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ನಾವು ಯಾರನ್ನೂ ಬನ್ನಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಯಾರನ್ನೂ ಹೋಗಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.— Fact Finding Committeeಯ ವರದಿ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ, States Reorganisation Commission ತಮ್ಮ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ವರದಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ, ತಾವು ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಇದು ಇನ್ನೂ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ಮೂರನೆಯ ವಿಧಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ಇನ್ನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ.—ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿತವಾಗಿರುವಂತೆ ಯಾವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕೋ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—This one question has taken up sufficient time and Hon'ble Members have put sufficient number of supplementaries and so we can take up the next question.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—No opportunity is given to other members of this House to put supplementaries.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—But the other members did not get up at all. Sri B. V. Narayana Reddy and Sri Imam were the two.....

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sri Narayana Reddy is not in the House now.

Gazetted Officers in the Secretariat (Transfer)

Q.—23. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Gazetted officers employed in the Secretariat;

(*b*) whether there are any Gazetted officers in the Secretariat who are remaining over five years ; if so, their number ;

(*c*) the action taken by Government to transfer these officers from the Secretariat ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) 33.

(*b*) Yes—3.

(*c*) The above three officers being substantive officers of the Secretariat holding their permanent liens on the Secretariat Cadre itself, they are not liable for transfer elsewhere.